

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Polynomial solutions of qKZ equation and ground state of XXZ spin chain at  $\Delta = -1/2$ 

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 11827 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/40/39/009)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.144 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 06:15

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 11827-11847

doi:10.1088/1751-8113/40/39/009

# Polynomial solutions of *q*KZ equation and ground state of XXZ spin chain at $\Delta = -1/2$

# A V Razumov<sup>1</sup>, Yu G Stroganov<sup>1</sup> and P Zinn-Justin<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Division of Theoretical Physics, Institute for High Energy Physics, 142281 Protvino, Moscow region, Russia
 <sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques, Université Paris-Sud, F-91405 Orsay, France

Received 4 May 2007, in final form 14 August 2007 Published 11 September 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/40/11827

# Abstract

Integral formulae for polynomial solutions of the quantum Knizhnik– Zamolodchikov equations associated with the *R*-matrix of the six-vertex model are considered. It is proved that when the deformation parameter *q* is equal to  $e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  and the number of vertical lines of the lattice is odd, the solution under consideration is an eigenvector of the inhomogeneous transfer matrix of the six-vertex model. In the homogeneous limit, it is a ground-state eigenvector of the antiferromagnetic XXZ spin chain with the anisotropy parameter  $\Delta$  equal to -1/2 and an odd number of sites. The obtained integral representations for the components of this eigenvector allow us to prove some conjectures on its properties formulated earlier. A new statement relating the groundstate components of XXZ spin chains and Temperley–Lieb loop models is formulated and proved.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 75.10.Pq

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

#### 1. Introduction

The recent years have witnessed an explosion of conjectures concerning the ground state of the antiferromagnetic XXZ spin chain with the anisotropy parameter  $\Delta$  equal to -1/2 [1–4] and of a closely related Temperley–Lieb loop model [3, 5–11]. They provide an interesting connection to the world of combinatorics, and in particular to the realm of alternating sign matrices [12]. Some progress has been made towards understanding these conjectures by use of the connection of these one-dimensional quantum–mechanical models with two-dimensional integrable models of statistical mechanics, the six-vertex model and the dense O(1) loop model, respectively. Here, instead of eigenvectors of the Hamiltonians, one studies eigenvectors of the

1751-8113/07/3911827+21\$30.00 © 2007 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK



Figure 1. The Boltzmann weights for the six-vertex model.

transfer matrices. An important point of this approach, initiated by Di Francesco and Zinn-Justin in paper [13], is the transition to inhomogeneous versions of the associated models of statistical mechanics. It eventually led to the idea that one should replace the original eigenvector equation defining the ground state with the quantum Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (*q*KZ) equation [14]. The *q*KZ equation contains a free parameter *q* that is related to the anisotropy parameter  $\Delta$  of the XXZ spin chain by  $\Delta = (q + q^{-1})/2$ , so that one should set  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  in the end.

Much is known about solutions of the qKZ equation. As in the closely related work [26], we shall here write integral formulae for its solutions. The focus of the present paper being mostly on the periodic XXZ spin chain in odd size N = 2n + 1, the application will be some formulae expressing its ground state entries explicitly as coefficients of a multi-variable polynomial. This will allow us to settle some conjectures, including the calculation of the most antiferromagnetic component of the ground state.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce the various models involved. In section 3, we formulate in the form of theorems the statements to be proved in what follows. In section 4, we discuss a certain relevant polynomial solution of the *q*KZ equation. Finally, in section 5, we take the homogeneous limit, prove the statements on the properties of the ground-state components of XXZ spin chains at  $\Delta = -1/2$  and Temperley–Lieb loop models formulated in section 4 and comment on the implication for refined enumeration of alternating sign matrices (ASMs) and totally symmetric self-complementary plane partitions (TSSCPPs).

#### 2. Six-vertex model and XXZ spin chain

#### 2.1. Six-vertex model

The six-vertex model is a statistical mechanics vertex model defined on a square lattice with N vertical and M horizontal rows. A state of the model is specified by a choice of the direction of each edge usually denoted by an arrow. The arrows obey the rule, called the ice condition, that at every vertex there are two arrows pointing in and two arrows pointing out. There are six possible configurations of arrows at each vertex, hence the name of the model. The Boltzmann weight of a vertex depends on its configuration and the value of the spectral parameter x associated with the vertex as is given in figure 1, where the functions a(x), b(x), c(x) and c'(x) are defined by the equalities<sup>3</sup>

$$a(x) = \frac{qx - q^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}x}, \qquad b(x) = \frac{x - 1}{q - q^{-1}x},$$
  

$$c(x) = \frac{(q - q^{-1})x}{q - q^{-1}x}, \qquad c'(x) = \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}x}.$$

<sup>3</sup> Note that we use the so-called homogeneous gradation.

The parameter  $q \neq \pm 1$  is a common parameter for all vertices. Often the parameter

$$\Delta = \frac{a^2(x) + b^2(x) - c(x)c'(x)}{2a(x)b(x)} = \frac{1}{2}(q + q^{-1})$$

is used instead. In the homogeneous case the same spectral parameter x is associated with all vertices, while in the inhomogeneous case one associates variables  $y_1, \ldots, y_M$  with the horizontal rows of the lattice and variables  $z_1, \ldots, z_N$  with the vertical rows. A vertex at the intersection of horizontal row p and vertical row i acquires the spectral parameter equal to  $y_p/z_i$ .

Instead of orientation, one can characterize the state of an edge by up or down arrows. Here we supply an edge with an up arrow if the edge orientation arrow points up or to the left and we supply it with a down arrow if the edge orientation arrow points down or to the right. It is convenient to assume that the states which do not satisfy the ice condition are also allowed but have the weight equal to zero and arrange the weights into a  $4 \times 4$  matrix R(x) whose rows are labeled by two indices, say  $\alpha$  and  $\mu$ , taking the values  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$ , and whose columns are labeled by two indices, say  $\beta$  and  $\nu$ , also taking the values  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$ . Assume that the correspondence of indices and edges is as follows:

Choosing for pairs of indices the ordering  $\uparrow\uparrow,\,\uparrow\downarrow,\,\downarrow\uparrow,\,\downarrow\downarrow$  , we have

$$R(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & & \\ & b(x) & c(x) & \\ & c'(x) & b(x) & \\ & & & a(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where only nonzero entries are presented.

We identify R(x) with the linear operator  $R_{1,2}(x)$  in the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  defined via the equality

$$R_{1,2}(x)e_{\nu_1}\otimes e_{\nu_2}=e_{\mu_1}\otimes e_{\mu_2}R^{\mu_1\mu_2}{}_{\nu_1\nu_2}(x),$$

where  $e_{\mu}$ ,  $\mu = \uparrow$ ,  $\downarrow$ , are the elements of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ :

$$e_{\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e_{\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In general, let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_I\}$  be some ordered set of indices, and  $V_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  be a copy of the space  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We denote by  $R_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$  the linear operator in  $V_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\alpha_I}$  acting as R(x) in  $V_{\alpha}$  and  $V_{\beta}$  and identically in all other factors. In this situation, we also denote by  $P_{\alpha,\beta}$  the transposition

$$P_{\alpha,\beta}(v_{\alpha_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\alpha}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\beta}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\alpha_l})=v_{\alpha_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\beta}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\alpha}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\alpha_l}.$$

It can be shown that in the space  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$  one has the Yang–Baxter equation

$$R_{1,2}(x_1/x_2)R_{1,3}(x_1/x_3)R_{2,3}(x_2/x_3) = R_{2,3}(x_2/x_3)R_{1,3}(x_1/x_3)R_{1,2}(x_1/x_2).$$
(1)

The basic object of the statistical mechanics is the partition function of the system. One of the ways to find it for a vertex model is to introduce the transfer matrices. The transfer matrix, associated with horizontal rows of the lattice, is defined as

$$T(y|z_1,...,z_N) = \operatorname{tr}_0[R_{0,1}(y/z_1)\cdots R_{0,N}(y/z_N)],$$

where for each i = 1, ..., N the operator  $R_{0,i}(y/z_i)$  is an operator in  $V_0 \otimes V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$ and tr<sub>0</sub> means the partial trace over  $V_0$ . Here we assume that toroidal boundary conditions are imposed. Using the Yang–Baxter equation (1), one can show that

$$[T(y|z_1,...,z_N), T(y'|z_1,...,z_N)] = 0$$

for any y and y'. Therefore, for fixed  $z_1, \ldots, z_N$  one can bring the transfer matrices  $T(y|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  to upper triangular form simultaneously for all values of y. Here the diagonal matrix elements of the resulting matrices are eigenvalues.

The partition function is related to the transfer matrix as follows:

$$Z(y_1, \ldots, y_M | z_1, \ldots, z_N) = tr[T(y_1 | z_1, \ldots, z_N) \cdots T(y_M | z_1, \ldots, z_N)].$$

Bringing all the matrices under the trace to upper triangular form, one reduces the calculation of the partition sum to the calculation of the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix. Note that in the thermodynamic limit only the largest eigenvalues of the transfer matrix contribute to the partition function.

There are two main methods to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the transfer matrix. The first one is the Bethe ansatz, which is not discussed here, and the second is the method of the Baxter functional relations. In accordance with the latter method, any eigenvalue  $\lambda(y|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  of the transfer matrix  $T(y|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  satisfies the relation

$$\lambda(y|z_1, \dots, z_N)\rho(y|z_1, \dots, z_N) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^N a(y/z_i)\right]\rho(q^{-2}y|z_1, \dots, z_N) + \left[\prod_{i=1}^N b(y/z_i)\right]\rho(q^2y|z_1, \dots, z_N),$$
(2)

where  $\rho(y|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  is some function which is actually an eigenvalue of the so-called Baxter Q-operator  $Q(y|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ .

In the present paper, we discuss a special case where one can find an explicit solution of equation (2). Namely, we consider the case where  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ . As was argued by Baxter<sup>4</sup> [15] in this case equation (2) has a solution for

$$\lambda(y|z_1, \dots, z_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N [a(y/z_i) + b(y/z_i)].$$
(3)

As became clear afterwards, the corresponding eigenvector of the transfer matrix is nontrivial only if N is odd. The explicit form of the function  $\rho(y|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  was found by Alcaraz and Stroganov [19] and earlier by Stroganov [1] for the homogeneous case. Note that with the parameterization of the weights used in the present paper a(x) + b(x) = 1 if  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ . Hence, if the vector corresponding to the special eigenvalue of the transfer matrix given by equation (3) is nontrivial it is an eigenvector with the eigenvalue 1. In the present paper, we suggest and prove some integral representations for the components of the eigenvector  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  of the transfer matrix corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 for the case when  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  and N is odd.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Actually, Baxter considers the more general eight-vertex model, see in this respect, papers [16–18].

#### 2.2. XXZ spin chain

The six-vertex model is closely related to the XXZ model describing an interaction of the spin one-half particles arranged in a chain. Here each particle interacts with its nearest neighbors only. The Hamiltonian of the model has the form

$$H_{\rm XXZ}(\Delta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y + \Delta \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z \right],\tag{4}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the so-called anisotropy parameter and  $\sigma_i^x$ ,  $\sigma_i^y$ ,  $\sigma_i^z$  are the operators describing spin degrees of freedom of the particle at site *i* of the chain. We assume that periodic boundary conditions are imposed.

In the homogeneous limit where all  $z_i$  are equal to 1 for general q, the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix of the six-vertex model T(x) = T(x|1,...,1) at x = 1 is related to the Hamiltonian  $H_{XXZ}(\Delta)$  as

$$\left[T^{-1}(x)\frac{dT(x)}{dx}\right]_{x=1} = -\frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}\left[H_{XXZ}(\Delta) - \frac{3N}{2}\Delta\right],$$
(5)

where  $\Delta = (q + q^{-1})/2$ . In the case when  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ , one has  $q + q^{-1} = -1$  and  $\Delta$  is equal to -1/2. Therefore, in this case the homogeneous limit  $\psi$  of the eigenvector  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  of the transfer matrix with the eigenvalue 1 is an eigenvector of the Hamiltonian  $H_{XXZ}(-1/2)$  with the eigenvalue -3N/4.

It is well known that one can look for the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix of the sixvertex model and the Hamiltonian of the XXZ spin chain in the sectors spanned by the basis vectors with a fixed number of down or up arrows. For the case of *K* down arrows we denote the corresponding basis vectors by  $e_{a_1,...,a_K}$ , where  $a_1, ..., a_K$  are positions of down arrows. It is natural to assume that  $1 \le a_1 < \cdots < a_K \le N$ . The discussed eigenvectors of the transfer matrix and  $H_{XXZ}(-1/2)$  belong to the sector with *n* down arrows if N = 2n + 1. Actually, it has a companion with the same eigenvalue in the sector with n + 1 down arrows which can be obtained by the transformation reversing direction of arrows. This transformation commutes with the transfer matrix and  $H_{XXZ}(\Delta)$ .

The eigenvector of the Hamiltonian  $H_{XXZ}(-1/2)$  with the eigenvalue -3N/4 for an odd number of sites was investigated numerically by Razumov and Stroganov [2]. They formulated a few conjectures about the properties of the components of this vector. Some of these conjectures have been proved already [20–23], and some of them have been generalized to the case of different boundary conditions [3, 4]. In particular, it was shown that the considered vector is the ground state of the Hamiltonian  $H_{XXZ}(-1/2)$  [24, 25].

## 2.3. Temperley-Lieb loop model

Although this is not the main focus of this work, we shall briefly describe here a related model of loops. This will allow us to derive interesting new connections between the discussed models, and also to compare our results with those of paper [26].

We assume that the size of the system is even, equal to 2n. The state space of the model is the free vector space generated by the set  $\Pi_{2n}$  formed by *link patterns*  $\pi$ , that is nonintersecting planar pairings of 2n points regularly distributed on a circle. The dimension of this space is equal to the Catalan number  $\frac{1}{n+1} {2n \choose n}$ . For example, in size 2n = 6, there are five link patterns given in figure 2. It is sometimes convenient to identify a link pattern  $\pi$  with the involution that exchanges paired points, so that such pairs are of the form  $(i, \pi(i))$ .

Define linear operators  $e_i$ , i = 1, ..., 2n, which act on link patterns according to the following rule: either the points *i* and  $i + 1 \pmod{2n}$  are already connected in the initial link



Figure 2. The link patterns forming the canonical basis of the Temperley–Lieb loop model for 2n = 6.

pattern, in which case the link pattern is simply multiplied by  $\tau = -q - q^{-1}$ ; or they are not, in which case the lines arriving at points *i*, *i* + 1 are reconnected and a new arch pairs *i* and *i* + 1 in the new link pattern. For example, we have



One can easily show that the operators  $e_i$  satisfy defining relations of the Temperley–Lieb algebra [27].

Finally, the Hamiltonian is defined by  $H_{TL} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_i$ . When  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  one has  $\tau = 1$ . It is easy to check that in this case the dual of  $H_{TL}$  has an eigenvector with all components relative to the dual of the basis  $\Pi_{2n}$  equal to 1 and with eigenvalue 2n. Using the Perron–Frobenius theorem, one can show that this is the largest eigenvalue. With an opposite sign convention for  $H_{TL}$ , this would be the ground-state eigenvalue. Normalize the corresponding eigenvector

$$\xi = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{2n}} \pi \xi_{\pi}$$

of  $H_{\text{TL}}$  so that the smallest component, corresponding to the link patterns of the type of the first three patterns in figure 2, is set to 1. In this case, the components  $\xi_{\pi}$  possess remarkable combinatorial properties, see in particular, paper [5].

An additional remark is in order: it is known that this model of loops can be mapped into a *twisted* XXZ spin chain of the same even size. This is not the odd-sized XXZ model that is considered in the present work. Nevertheless, we shall find below some non-trivial connections between the odd-sized XXZ spin chain and the even-sized loop model.

# 3. Formulation of the main results

We now formulate in the form of theorems three properties of the ground-state components of the models above that will be proved in section 5. The first two of them were conjectured previously in paper [2], and the third one is new. Denote by  $\psi$  the ground-state eigenvector of the XXZ spin chain of size 2n + 1 at  $\Delta = -1/2$ , and by  $\xi$  the ground-state eigenvector of the Temperley–Lieb loop model of size 2n at  $\tau = 1$ . Recall that  $\psi_{a_1,...,a_n}$  is the component of  $\psi$ 

with down arrows at locations  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ , and  $\xi_{\pi}$  is the component of  $\xi$  corresponding to the link pattern  $\pi$ .

## 3.1. Integerness

The first result reads as follows:

 $\varepsilon_1$ .

**Theorem 1.** If we choose the normalization of the vector  $\psi$  so that  $\psi_{1,2,\dots,n} = 1$  then all other components  $\psi_{a_1,\dots,a_n}$  are integer.

This is one of the conjectures of paper [2]. In fact, it is not hard to check that the Perron– Frobenius theorem can be applied here, see, for example [28, theorem 4], so that with this normalization the components are also positive.

A similar conjecture exists for the Temperley–Lieb loop model, but will not be addressed here.

#### 3.2. Some partial sums and refined ASM enumeration

An alternating sign matrix (ASM) is a square matrix with entries in  $\{-1, 0, +1\}$  such that in every row and column, the sequence of  $\pm 1$  is alternatingly +1 and -1 starting and ending with +1. Denote by A(n) the number of ASMs of size n, and by A(n, r) the number of ASMs of size n whose (unique) +1 in the first row is at column r. These numbers have been at the center of a great deal of activity, see the book [12]. As was conjectured by Mills, Robbins and Rumsey [29, 30] and proved by Zeilberger [33, 34], they are given by the formulae

$$A(n) = \frac{1!4!7!\cdots(3n-2)!}{n!(n+1)!\cdots(2n-1)!},$$
  
$$A(n,r) = A(n)\frac{\binom{n+r-2}{n-1}\binom{2n-1-r}{n-1}}{\binom{3n-2}{n-1}}.$$

.....

. . . . . . .

Let us now return to the ground-state eigenvector  $\psi$ . In paper [35], Razumov and Stroganov studied certain components  $\psi_{a_1,...,a_n}$  such that  $a_1 = 1$  or  $2, ..., a_{\ell} = 2\ell - 1$  or  $2\ell, ..., a_n = 2n-1$  or 2n. They made the following observation based on numerical evidence:

$$\sum_{\dots,\varepsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} \alpha^{\sum_{\ell=1}^n \varepsilon_\ell} \psi_{1+\varepsilon_1,3+\varepsilon_2,\dots,2n-1+\varepsilon_n} = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \alpha^{r-1} A(n+1,r).$$
(6)

Using the coordinate Bethe ansatz techniques, they managed to prove the following identity:

$$\frac{1}{\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-1}} \sum_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} \alpha^{\sum_{\ell=1}^n \varepsilon_\ell} \psi_{1+\varepsilon_1,3+\varepsilon_2,\dots,2n-1+\varepsilon_n} = \frac{1}{A(n)} \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \alpha^{r-1} A(n+1,r).$$
(7)

We shall use this identity below. For now, what is important is that the proof of the original observation (6) is reduced to the proof of the following result:

**Theorem 2.** If we choose the normalization of the vector  $\psi$  so that  $\psi_{1,2,\dots,n} = 1$  then  $\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-1} = A(n)$ .

Note that, in view of the elementary relation A(n + 1, 1) = A(n), this is nothing but the special case  $\alpha = 0$  of equation (6). In fact this was conjectured much earlier, in paper [2], where it was also observed that  $\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-1}$  is the largest component.

# 3.3. From the XXZ spin chain ground state to the Temperley–Lieb loop model ground state

Let us now consider the components obtained from the component  $\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-1}$  by increasing one of the indices by 1. This is best explained by an example. In size N = 2n + 1 = 9, they are the components

 $\psi_{2,3,5,7} = 17,$   $\psi_{1,4,5,7} = 21,$   $\psi_{1,3,6,7} = 25,$   $\psi_{1,3,5,8} = 42.$ 

The last component is nothing but the component  $\psi_{1,3,5,7} = A(4) = 42$ , which is obvious because they are obtained from each other by rotation.

Now, amazingly the same quantities appear in the Temperley–Lieb loop model of size 2n, that is equal to 8 in our example. Explicitly, group the link patterns  $\pi$  according to the point  $\pi(1)$  to which 1 is paired. Consider the partial sums of components  $\xi_{(1,a)} = \sum_{\pi:\pi(1)=a} \xi_{\pi}$ . For 2n = 8 we find



Calculating successive partial sums of the first 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the obtained numbers, we reproduce exactly the components of  $\psi$  above. We are thus led to the following statement, which is proved in what follows:

**Theorem 3.** There exist linear relations between the normalized ground state of the XXZ spin chain in size 2n + 1 and the normalized ground state of the Temperley–Lieb loop model in size 2n of the form

$$\psi_{1,3,\dots,2k-3,2k,2k+1,\dots,2n-1} = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \xi_{(1,2m)}, \qquad k = 1,\dots,n$$

Note that at k = n the above identity states that the component  $\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-3,2n}$  is equal to the sum of all components of the loop model. We have already mentioned that the former is conjectured to be A(n). That the latter is also equal to A(n) was conjectured by Batchelor, de Gier and Nienhuis [3] and proved by Di Francesco and Zinn-Justin [13].

# 4. Polynomial solutions of qKZ equation

## 4.1. Integral formulae

Being inspired by the integral formulae given, for example, in the book by Jimbo and Miwa [36], let us consider the following integral:

$$\Psi_{a_1,\dots,a_n}(z_1,\dots,z_N) = \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant N} (qz_i - q^{-1}z_j) \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}w_\ell}{2\pi \,\mathrm{i}} \Phi_{a_1,\dots,a_n}(w_1,\dots,w_n \mid z_1,\dots,z_N),$$
(8)

where  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  is a sequence of positive integers such that  $1 \le a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n \le N = 2n + 1$ , and the function  $\Phi_{a_1,\ldots,a_n}(w_1,\ldots,w_n \mid z_1,\ldots,z_N)$  has the form

$$\Phi_{a_1,\dots,a_n}(w_1,\dots,w_n \mid z_1,\dots,z_N) = (q-q^{-1})^n \prod_{\ell=1}^n z_{a_\ell} \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^n w_\ell \prod_{1 \le \ell < m \le n} [(w_m - w_\ell)(qw_\ell - q^{-1}w_m)]}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n [\prod_{1 \le i \le a_\ell} (w_\ell - z_i) \prod_{a_\ell \le i \le N} (qw_\ell - q^{-1}z_i)]}.$$

The variables  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  and  $z_1, \ldots, z_N$  are complex, and the integration contours surround the poles at  $w_\ell = z_i$ , but not at  $w_\ell = q^{-2}z_i$ .

It is also necessary for our purposes to consider an alternative integral formula, which involves n + 1 integrations:

$$\overline{\Psi}_{b_{1},\dots,b_{n+1}}(z_{1},\dots,z_{N}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (qz_{i} - q^{-1}z_{j}) \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{d}w_{\ell}}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \overline{\Phi}_{b_{1},\dots,b_{n+1}}(w_{1},\dots,w_{n+1}|z_{1},\dots,z_{N}),$$
(9)

where  $1 \leq b_1 < b_2 \cdots < b_{n+1} \leq N$ , the function  $\overline{\Phi}_{b_1,\dots,b_{n+1}}(w_1,\dots,w_{n+1} \mid z_1,\dots,z_N)$  has the form

$$\overline{\Phi}_{b_1,\dots,b_{n+1}}(w_1,\dots,w_{n+1} \mid z_1,\dots,z_N) = (q-q^{-1})^n \prod_{i=1}^N z_i \frac{\prod_{1 \le \ell < m \le n+1} [(w_m - w_\ell)(qw_\ell - q^{-1}w_m)]}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} [\prod_{1 \le i \le b_\ell} (w_\ell - z_i) \prod_{b_\ell \le i \le N} (qw_\ell - q^{-1}z_i)]},$$

and the integration contours are the same. That equations (8) and (9) give the same set of functions will be derived below, see proposition 2.

Using the reasonings similar to those of paper [26], one can show that  $\Psi_{a_1,...,a_n}(z_1,...,z_N)$  and  $\overline{\Psi}_{b_1,...,b_{n+1}}(z_1,...,z_N)$  are homogeneous polynomials in the variables  $z_1,...,z_N$  of degree n(n + 1).

Let us define two vectors in the subspace of  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes N}$  spanned by the basis vectors with *n* down arrows,

$$\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_N)=\sum_{1\leqslant a_1<\cdots< a_n\leqslant N}\Psi_{a_1,\ldots,a_n}(z_1,\ldots,z_N)e_{a_1,\ldots,a_n}$$

and

$$\overline{\Psi}(z_1,\ldots,z_N)=\sum_{1\leqslant b_1<\cdots< b_{n+1}\leqslant N}\overline{\Psi}_{b_1,\ldots,b_{n+1}}(z_1,\ldots,z_N)\overline{e}_{b_1,\ldots,b_{n+1}},$$

where  $\overline{e}_{b_1,\ldots,b_{n+1}}$  is a basis vector with up arrows in positions  $b_1,\ldots,b_{n+1}$ .

We will denote by  $\overline{a_1, \ldots, a_n}$  and  $\overline{b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1}}$  the increasing sequences of indices complementing the sets  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  and  $b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1}$  to the sequence  $1, \ldots, 2n + 1$ . Using this notation, we can write, in particular,

$$\overline{e}_{\overline{a_1,\ldots,a_n}} = e_{a_1,\ldots,a_n}, \qquad e_{\overline{b_1,\ldots,b_{n+1}}} = \overline{e}_{b_1,\ldots,b_{n+1}}$$

**Proposition 1.** For each i = 1, ..., N - 1, the vector  $\Psi(z_1, ..., z_N)$  satisfies the equation

$$\check{R}_{i,i+1}(z_{i+1}/z_i)\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_i,z_{i+1},\ldots,z_N) = \Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_{i+1},z_i,\ldots,z_N),$$
(10)  
where  $\check{R}_{i,i+1}(x) = P_{i,i+1}R_{i,i+1}(x)$ . The vector  $\overline{\Psi}(z_1,\ldots,z_N)$  satisfies the same equations.

**Proof.** First consider the vector  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_{2n+1})$ . Let us rewrite explicitly equation (10) in components. There are four cases, depending on the values of spins at locations *i* and *i* + 1. In terms of the integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ , it corresponds to the following: (i) *i* and *i* + 1 are not among the integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ ; (ii) for some  $\ell < n$  one has  $a_{\ell} = i$  and  $a_{\ell+1} \neq i + 1$ ; (iii) for some l > 1 one has  $a_{\ell} = i + 1$  and  $a_{\ell-1} \neq i$ ; (iv) for some l < n one has  $a_{\ell} = i$  and  $a_{\ell+1} = i + 1$ .

In cases (i) and (iv), equation (10) means that the component  $\Psi_{a_1,...,a_n}(z_1,...,z_{2n+1})$ divided by  $qz_i - q^{-1}z_{i+1}$  must be symmetric in the variables  $z_i, z_{i+1}$ . In case (i), the integrand  $\Phi_{a_1,...,a_n}(w_1,...,w_n \mid z_1,...,z_{2n+1})$  of equation (8) is symmetric in the exchange of  $z_i$  and  $z_{i+1}$ . This implies that  $\Psi_{a_1,...,a_n}(z_1,...,z_{2n+1})$  divided by  $qz_i - q^{-1}z_{i+1}$  is symmetric in the variables  $z_i, z_{i+1}$ . In case (iv),  $\Phi_{a_1,...,a_n}(...,z_i, z_{i+1},...) - \Phi_{a_1,...,a_n}(..., z_{i+1}, z_i,...)$  is an antisymmetric function of  $w_\ell$  and  $w_{\ell+1}$ , and integration over these variables gives zero. Therefore,  $\Psi_{a_1,...,a_n}(z_1,...,z_{2n+1})$  divided by  $qz_i - q^{-1}z_{i+1}$  is symmetric in the exchange of  $z_i$  and  $z_{i+1}$ .

In case (ii), we have the non-trivial equation

$$(q - q^{-1})z_{i+1}\Psi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{z\}) + (z_{i+1} - z_i)\Psi_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{z\}) = (qz_i - q^{-1}z_{i+1})\tau_i\Psi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{z\}), \quad (11)$$

where we use the convenient notation  $\tau_i$  for the exchange of variables  $z_i$  and  $z_{i+1}$ , and dots stand for the unchanged indices. It is easy to see that in the case under consideration one has

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}) &= \frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i} \frac{qw_\ell - q^{-1}z_i}{w_\ell - z_{i+1}} \Phi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}),\\ \tau_i \Phi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}) &= \frac{qz_{i+1} - q^{-1}z_i}{qz_i - q^{-1}z_{i+1}} \frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i} \frac{w_\ell - z_i}{w_\ell - z_{i+1}} \Phi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}). \end{split}$$

Using these relations one can prove that

$$(q - q^{-1})z_{i+1}\Phi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}) + (z_{i+1} - z_i)\Phi_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\})$$
  
=  $(qz_{i+1} - q^{-1}z_i)\tau_i\Phi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}).$ 

Now the validity of equation (11) is evident.

A similar discussion can be made for case (iii) and for the vector  $\overline{\Psi}(z_1, \ldots, z_{2n+1})$ . Let us only mention that for the non-trivial case analogous to (iii) one should prove the relation  $(q - q^{-1})z_{i+1}\overline{\Psi}_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{z\}) + (z_{i+1} - z_i)\overline{\Psi}_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{z\}) = (qz_i - q^{-1}z_{i+1})\tau_i\overline{\Psi}_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{z\}),$  (12) which actually follows from the equalities

$$\overline{\Phi}_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}) = \frac{qw_{\ell} - q^{-1}z_{i}}{w_{\ell} - z_{i+1}}\overline{\Phi}_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}),$$
  
$$\tau_{i}\overline{\Phi}_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}) = \frac{qz_{i+1} - q^{-1}z_{i}}{qz_{i} - q^{-1}z_{i+1}}\frac{qw_{\ell} - q^{-1}z_{i+1}}{w_{\ell} - z_{i+1}}\overline{\Phi}_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{w\}|\{z\}).$$

Thus, equation (10) is satisfied by both  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  and  $\overline{\Psi}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ .

**Proposition 2.** The vectors  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  and  $\overline{\Psi}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  coincide.

**Proof.** It is clear from the definition of the vectors  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  and  $\overline{\Psi}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  that one should prove the equality

$$\Psi_{a_1,\dots,a_n}(z_1,\dots,z_N) = \overline{\Psi}_{\overline{a_1,\dots,a_n}}(z_1,\dots,z_N).$$
(13)

Let us prove this equality for the components  $\Psi_{1,\dots,n}(z_1,\dots,z_N)$  and  $\overline{\Psi}_{\overline{1,\dots,n}}(z_1,\dots,z_N) = \overline{\Psi}_{n+1,\dots,N}(z_1,\dots,z_N)$ . Using equation (8), we see that the only poles that contribute to the integral for  $\Psi_{1,\dots,n}(z_1,\dots,z_N)$  are the poles at the points  $w_i = z_i, i = 1,\dots,n$ , and we find immediately

$$\Psi_{1,\dots,n}(z_1,\dots,z_N) = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (qz_i - q^{-1}z_j) \prod_{n+1 \le i < j \le N} (qz_i - q^{-1}z_j).$$
(14)

Consider now equation (9) for  $\Psi_{n+1,\dots,N}(z_1,\dots,z_N)$ . We note that one can pick up poles outside the contours instead of inside, and that there are none at infinity. Hence, the only poles that contribute to the integral are the poles at the points  $w_i = z_{n+i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ , and the computation leads to the same expression (14). Thus, we see that  $\Psi_{1,\dots,n}(z_1,\dots,z_N) = \overline{\Psi_{n+1,\dots,N}(z_1,\dots,z_N)}$ .

It is easy to see that any component of  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  can be obtained from the component  $\Psi_{1,\ldots,n}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  by incrementing indices with the help of the equality

$$\Psi_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{z\}) = \frac{qz_i - q^{-1}z_{i+1}}{z_{i+1} - z_i} \tau_i \Psi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{z\}) - (q - q^{-1}) \frac{z_{i+1}}{z_{i+1} - z_i} \Psi_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{z\}),$$

which follows from equation (11). Similarly, any component of  $\overline{\Psi}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  can be obtained from the component  $\overline{\Psi}_{n+1,\ldots,N}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  by decrementing indices with the help of the equality

$$\overline{\Psi}_{\dots,i,\dots}(\{z\}) = \frac{qz_i - q^{-1}z_{i+1}}{z_{i+1} - z_i} \tau_i \overline{\Psi}_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{z\}) - (q - q^{-1}) \frac{z_{i+1}}{z_{i+1} - z_i} \overline{\Psi}_{\dots,i+1,\dots}(\{z\}),$$

which follows from equation (12). Comparing now the two above equalities, we conclude that equation (13) is valid for all components.  $\Box$ 

Let  $\sigma$  be the operator of left rotation in the space  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes N}$  defined by the equality

$$\sigma(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes\cdots\otimes v_N)=v_2\otimes\cdots\otimes v_N\otimes v_1.$$

**Proposition 3.** The vector  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  satisfies the following cyclicity condition

$$D_N \sigma \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \Psi(z_2, \dots, z_N, sz_1), \tag{15}$$

where  $s = q^6$  and  $D_N$  is the operator

$$D = q^{3n} q^{3(\sigma^z + 1)/2}$$

acting in the last factor of the tensor product  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes N}$ .

**Proof.** First of all write equation (15) in terms of components. We have two cases in terms of the components  $\Psi_{a_1,...,a_n}$ :

$$\Psi_{a_1,\dots,a_{n-1},a_n}(z_2,\dots,z_N,sz_1) = \begin{cases} q^{3n+3}\Psi_{1,a_1+1,\dots,a_{n-1}+1}(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_N), & a_n = N, \\ q^{3n}\Psi_{a_1+1,\dots,a_{n-1}+1,a_n+1}(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_N), & a_n < N, \end{cases}$$
(16)

and two similar cases in terms of the components  $\overline{\Psi}_{b_1,\dots,b_{n+1}}$ :

$$\overline{\Psi}_{b_1,\dots,b_n,b_{n+1}}(z_2,\dots,z_N,sz_1) = \begin{cases} q^{3n+3}\overline{\Psi}_{b_1+1,\dots,b_n+1,b_{n+1}+1}(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_N), & b_{n+1} < N, \\ q^{3n}\overline{\Psi}_{1,b_1+1,\dots,b_n+1}(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_N), & b_{n+1} = N. \end{cases}$$
(17)

Taking into account the correspondence described by equation (13), it is easy to convince oneself that the validity of the first case of equation (16) implies the validity of the second case of equation (17), the validity of the second case of equation (16) implies the validity of the first case of equation (17).

Let us start with the first case of equation (16). Picking up the poles in equation (8) outside the contours, we see that there is a single pole that contributes to the integral for  $\Psi_{a_1,...,a_{n-1},N}(z_1,...,z_N)$  over  $w_n$ , namely, the pole at the point  $w_n = q^{-2}sz_1 = q^4z_1$ . Using this fact, we find that

$$\Psi_{a_1,\dots,a_{n-1},N}(z_2,\dots,z_{2n+1},s_{2n+1}) = q^{3n+3}(q-q^{-1})^{n-1}z_1 \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} z_{a_\ell+1} \prod_{2\leqslant i < j \leqslant N} (qz_i-q^{-1}z_j)$$

$$\times \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_\ell \, \mathrm{d}w_\ell}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (qz_1-q^{-1}w_\ell) \prod_{1\leqslant \ell < m \leqslant n-1} [(w_m-w_\ell)(qw_\ell-q^{-1}w_m)]}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} [\prod_{1\leqslant i \leqslant a_\ell} (w_\ell-z_{i+1}) \prod_{a_\ell \leqslant i \leqslant 2n} (qw_\ell-q^{-1}z_{i+1})]}.$$

On the other hand, there is a single pole contributing to the integral for  $\Psi_{1,a_1+1,\ldots,a_{n-1}+1}(z_1,\ldots,z_N)$  over  $w_1$ , namely, the pole at the point  $w_1 = z_1$ , and we obtain

$$\Psi_{1,a_{1}+1,\dots,a_{n-1}+1}(z_{1},\dots,z_{N}) = (q-q^{-1})^{n-1}z_{1}\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1}z_{a_{\ell}+1}\prod_{2\leqslant i < j\leqslant N}(qz_{i}-q^{-1}z_{j})$$

$$\times \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=2}^{n} \frac{w_{\ell} \, \mathrm{d}w_{\ell}}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \frac{\prod_{\ell=2}^{n}(qz_{1}-q^{-1}w_{\ell})\prod_{2\leqslant \ell < m\leqslant n}[(w_{m}-w_{\ell})(qw_{\ell}-q^{-1}w_{m})]}{\prod_{\ell=2}^{n}\left[\prod_{2\leqslant i \leqslant a_{\ell-1}+1}(w_{\ell}-z_{i})\prod_{a_{\ell-1}+1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+1}(qw_{\ell}-q^{-1}z_{i})\right]}.$$

After the evident change of integration variables one sees that the first case of equation (16) is true. In the same way one can show that the second case of equation (17) is also true. As is noted above, it suffices to prove the validity of all cases of equations (16) and (17).  $\Box$ 

**Example.** For n = 1, that is in size N = 3, from either expression (8) or (9) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Psi_1(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= \overline{\Psi}_{2,3}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1(qz_2 - q^{-1}z_3), \\ \Psi_2(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= \overline{\Psi}_{1,3}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_2(q^{-2}z_3 - q^2z_1), \\ \Psi_3(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= \overline{\Psi}_{1,2}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_3(qz_1 - q^{-1}z_2). \end{split}$$

One can easily see that these expressions satisfy equations (16) and (17).

Equations (10) and (15) are the qKZ equations in the form proposed by Smirnov [37].

#### 4.2. From qKZ to the six-vertex model and XXZ spin chain

Now along the lines of paper [38] we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.** When  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ , the vector  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  is an eigenvector of the transfer matrix  $T(x|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  with the eigenvalue 1.

**Proof.** Let us start with the vector  $\Psi(z_i, z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, \hat{z}_i, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_N)$ . Acting on it successively by the operator  $\check{R}_{1,2}(z_1/z_i), \ldots, \check{R}_{i-1,i}(z_{i-1}/z_i)$  and having in mind equation (10), we obtain

$$\check{R}_{i-1,i}(z_{i-1}/z_i)\cdots\check{R}_{1,2}(z_1/z_i)\Psi(z_i,z_1,\ldots,z_{i-1},\hat{z}_i,z_{i+1},\ldots,z_N) 
= \Psi(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_{i-1},z_i,z_{i+1},\ldots,z_N).$$
(18)

Now consider the vector  $D_N \sigma \Psi(z_i, z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, \hat{z}_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_N)$ . It follows from equation (15) that

 $D_N \sigma \Psi(z_i, z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, \hat{z}_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_N) = \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, \hat{z}_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_N, s_{i-1}).$ The successive action of the operators  $\check{R}_{N-1,N}(sz_i/z_N), \dots, \check{R}_{i,i+1}(sz_i/z_{i+1})$  gives

$$R_{i,i+1}(s_{z_i}/z_{i+1})\cdots R_{N-1,N}(s_{z_i}/z_N)D_N\sigma\Psi(z_i, z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, \hat{z}_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_N)$$
  
=  $\Psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{i-1}, s_{z_i}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_N).$  (19)

Using the equality  $\check{R}(x)\check{R}(1/x) = 1$ , rewrite equation (18) as

$$\Psi(z_i, z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, \hat{z}_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_N) = \check{R}_{1,2}(z_i/z_1) \cdots \check{R}_{i-1,i}(z_i/z_{i-1}) \Psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_N).$$

Substituting this equality into equation (19), we obtain

$$S_i(z_1,\ldots,z_N)\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_N)=\Psi(z_1,\ldots,s_{Z_i},\ldots,z_N),$$
(20)

where  $S_i(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  are the Yang scattering matrices,

$$S_i(z_1,\ldots,z_N) = \check{R}_{i,i+1}(s_{z_i}/z_{i+1})\cdots \check{R}_{N-1,N}(s_{z_i}/z_N)D_N\sigma\check{R}_{1,2}(z_i/z_1)\cdots \check{R}_{i-1,i}(z_i/z_{i-1}).$$

One can show that when  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  the Yang scattering matrices are related to the transfer matrix by the equality  $S_i(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = T(z_i | z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ . Therefore, in this case

$$T(z_i|z_1,...,z_N)\Psi(z_1,...,z_N) = \Psi(z_1,...,z_N).$$
 (21)

Let us demonstrate now that when  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  the vector  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  is an eigenvector of  $T(0|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  with the eigenvalue 1. To this end define the 2 × 2 matrices  $\mathcal{R}_i(x), i = 1, \ldots, N$ , with the matrix elements being operators in the space  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes N}$  as

$$\mathcal{R}_{i}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a(x) + b(x)}{2} + \frac{a(x) - b(x)}{2} \sigma_{i}^{z} & c(x)\sigma_{i}^{-} \\ c'(x)\sigma_{i}^{+} & \frac{a(x) + b(x)}{2} - \frac{a(x) - b(x)}{2} \sigma_{i}^{z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can easily show that

$$T(x|z_1,\ldots,z_N) = \operatorname{tr}[\mathcal{R}_1(x/z_1)\cdots\mathcal{R}_N(x/z_N)]$$

At x = 0 one obtains

$$\mathcal{R}_{i}(0) = -q^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+\sigma_{i}^{z}}{2} + q\frac{1-\sigma_{i}^{z}}{2} & 0\\ -q(q-q^{-1}) & \frac{1-\sigma_{i}^{z}}{2} + q\frac{1+\sigma_{i}^{z}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

therefore,

$$T(0|z_1, \dots, z_N) = (-q^{-2})^N \left( \frac{1+\sigma_1^z}{2} + q \frac{1-\sigma_1^z}{2} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{1+\sigma_N^z}{2} + q \frac{1-\sigma_N^z}{2} \right) + (-q^{-2})^N \left( \frac{1-\sigma_1^z}{2} + q \frac{1+\sigma_1^z}{2} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{1-\sigma_N^z}{2} + q \frac{1+\sigma_N^z}{2} \right).$$

This equality implies that any vector belonging to the sector with K down arrows is an eigenvector of  $T(0|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  with the eigenvalue

 $\lambda = \lambda(0|z_1, \dots, z_N) = (-1)^N q^{-2N} q^K + (-1)^N q^{-2N} q^{N-K} = (-1)^N (q^{-2N+K} + q^{-N-K}).$ One can convince oneself that in the case when N = 2n + 1, K = n and  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  one has  $\lambda = 1$ . Therefore, in this case

$$T(0|z_1,...,z_N)\Psi(z_1,...,z_N) = \Psi(z_1,...,z_N).$$
(22)

From the definition of the transfer matrix  $T(x|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ , it follows that the vector  $\prod_{i=1}^{N} (q - q^{-1}xz_i^{-1})T(x|z_1, \ldots, z_N)\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  is a polynomial in x of degree N with coefficients in  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes N}$ . Equations (21) and (22) say that this vector coincide with  $\prod_{i=1}^{N} (q - q^{-1}xz_i^{-1})\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  for N + 1 values of x. Hence, it coincides with  $\prod_{i=1}^{N} (q - q^{-1}xz_i^{-1})\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  for any value of x. Thus, the vector  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  is an eigenvector of the transfer matrix  $T(x|z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  with the eigenvalue 1.

In the homogeneous limit for general q, the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix T(x) = T(x|1,...,1) at x = 1 is related to the Hamiltonian  $H_{XXZ}(\Delta)$  of the XXZ spin model via equation (5). Therefore, in the case when  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  the vector  $\Psi(1,...,1)$  is an eigenvector of  $H_{XXZ}(-1/2)$  with the eigenvalue -3N/4. There is in fact a more direct derivation of the latter.

Indeed, starting from equation (21) for any distinct i and j, one writes

$$S_i(z_1, \dots, z_N) S_i^{-1}(z_1, \dots, z_N) \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_N).$$
(23)

Now for infinitesimal  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon'$ , let us set  $z_i = 1 + \epsilon$ ,  $z_j = 1 + \epsilon'$ ,  $z_k = 1$  for  $k \neq i, j$ . From the definition of  $S_i(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  it follows that in this case for i < j one has

$$S_i = \left(1 + \epsilon \sum_{k=i}^{N-1} h_k - \epsilon' h_{j-1} + \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \sigma h_k \sigma^{-1} + \cdots \right) \sigma,$$

where  $h_k = \check{R}'_k(1)$ . Using the equality  $\sigma \check{R}_i(x)\sigma^{-1} = \check{R}_{i-1}(x)$  and introducing the missing operator  $\check{R}_N(x)$  which acts on spaces 1 and N, such that  $\check{R}_N(x) = \sigma \check{R}_1(x)\sigma^{-1}$ , we come to the equality

$$S_i = \left(1 + \epsilon \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k \neq i-1}}^N h_k - \epsilon' h_{j-1} + \cdots \right) \sigma.$$

In a similar way one obtains

$$S_j = \left(1 + \epsilon' \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k \neq j-1}}^N h_k - \epsilon h_{i-1} + \cdots \right) \sigma,$$

so that

$$S_i S_j^{-1} = 1 + (\epsilon - \epsilon') \sum_{k=1}^N h_k + \cdots$$

In the case i > j we come to the same result.

Expanding equation (23) to first order in  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon'$ , we conclude that the vector  $\Psi(1, ..., 1)$  is an eigenvector of  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i$  with the eigenvalue 0. One can show that  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i$  coincides with the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix T(x) = T(x|1, ..., 1) at x = 1, which, in

σ

turn, is related to the Hamiltonian  $H_{XXZ}(\Delta)$  of the XXZ spin chain via equation (5). Thus, we again see that the vector  $\Psi(1, \ldots, 1)$  is an eigenvector of the Hamiltonian  $H_{XXZ}(-1/2)$  with the eigenvalue -3N/4.

Concluding this section, note that using the identity

$$= P_{N-1,N} \dots P_{2,3} P_{1,2}$$

one can rewrite the definition of the Yang scattering matrices as

$$S_i(z_1, \dots, z_N) = R_{i,i+1}^{-1}(s^{-1}z_{i+1}/z_i) \cdots R_{i,N}^{-1}(s^{-1}z_N/z_i) D_i R_{1,i}(z_i/z_1) \cdots R_{i-1,i}(z_i/z_{i-1}).$$
  
Then, equation (20) takes the form

$$\Psi(z_1, \dots, s_{z_i}, \dots, z_N) = R_{i,i+1}^{-1}(s^{-1}z_{i+1}/z_i) \cdots R_{i,N}^{-1}(s^{-1}z_N/z_i)$$
  
 
$$\times D_i R_{1,i}(z_i/z_1) \cdots R_{i-1,i}(z_i/z_{i-1}) \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_N).$$

It is this equation that is now usually called the qKZ equation, see, for example, paper [39].

### 5. Homogeneous limit and applications

We will now find the homogeneous limit of the representations (8) and (9) for the components of the vector  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ . In this limit,  $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$  depends on the single remaining parameter q, and when  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  it coincides with the ground state of the Hamiltonian  $H_{XXZ}(-1/2)$ . Thus, the homogeneous limit of the representations (8) and (9) at  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  give the components of the ground-state vector of the XXZ spin chain at  $\Delta = -1/2$ .

# 5.1. Integral formulae in the homogeneous limit

It is convenient to define

$$\psi = (q - q^{-1})^{-n^2} \Psi(1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{1 \le a_1 < \dots < a_n \le N} \psi_{a_1, \dots, a_n} e_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$$
$$= \sum_{1 \le b_1 < \dots < b_{n+1} \le N} \overline{\psi}_{b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}} \overline{e}_{b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}}.$$

The normalization is chosen in such a way that  $\psi_{1,2,\dots,n} = \overline{\psi}_{1,2,\dots,n+1} = 1$ . After the change of variables

$$u_\ell = \frac{w_\ell - 1}{q w_\ell - q^{-1}}$$

we obtain from equation (8)

$$\psi_{a_1,\dots,a_n} = \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}u_\ell \left(1 + \tau u_\ell + u_\ell^2\right)}{2\pi \mathrm{i}u_\ell^{a_\ell}} \prod_{1 \le \ell < m \le n} \left[ (u_m - u_\ell)(1 + \tau u_m + u_\ell u_m) \right], \tag{24}$$

where  $\tau = -q - q^{-1}$ . In a similar way, equation (9) yields

$$\overline{\psi}_{b_1,\dots,b_{n+1}} = \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{d}u_\ell}{2\pi \mathrm{i}u_\ell^{b_\ell}} \prod_{1 \le \ell < m \le n+1} [(u_m - u_\ell)(1 + \tau u_m + u_\ell u_m)].$$
(25)

It is not difficult to show that we really have

$$\psi_{1,2,\dots,n} = 1, \qquad \overline{\psi}_{1,2,\dots,n+1} = 1$$

Recall that the two types of components are related by

$$\psi_{a_1,\dots,a_n} = \overline{\psi}_{\overline{a_1,\dots,a_n}}.$$
(26)

After the change of the variables  $u_{\ell} \mapsto 1/u_{\ell}$  one finds the following reflection symmetry:

$$\psi_{a_1,\dots,a_n} = \psi_{N+1-a_n,\dots,N+1-a_1}, \qquad \psi_{b_1,\dots,b_{n+1}} = \psi_{N+1-b_{n+1},\dots,N+1-b_1}.$$

**Example.** Here are all the homogeneous components for n = 2:

$$\psi_{1,2} = \psi_{4,5} = 1, \qquad \psi_{1,5} = \tau, \qquad \psi_{2,3} = \psi_{3,4} = \tau^2, \psi_{1,3} = \psi_{3,5} = 2\tau, \qquad \psi_{2,4} = \tau(1+\tau^2), \qquad \psi_{1,4} = \psi_{2,5} = 1+\tau^2.$$
(27)

The case  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ , when the vector  $\psi$  becomes the ground state of the XXZ spin chain, corresponds to  $\tau = 1$ . In this case, all components on either line of equation (27) become equal. This is a sign of the rotational invariance

$$\sigma \psi = \psi$$

which is a direct consequence of equation (15).

Note that equations (24) and (25) express components of  $\psi$  as particular coefficients of a polynomial in the variables  $u_{\ell}$  and  $\tau$  with integer coefficients. An important consequence is that the components of  $\psi$  are polynomials in  $\tau$  with *integer* coefficients. In particular, at  $\tau = 1$ , the components of the ground state of the XXZ spin chain are integers. Thus, theorem 1 is proved. Recall that the normalization of  $\psi$  is fixed by  $\psi_{1,\dots,n} = 1$ , so that this component is necessarily the smallest one.

## 5.2. Recurrence relation

When  $a_1 = 1$  or  $b_1 = 1$  it is easy to integrate over  $u_1$  in equation (24) or (25), one just needs to set  $u_1 = 0$ . For example, equation (24) gives

$$\psi_{1,a_2,...,a_n} = \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=2}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}u_\ell (1+u_\ell+u_\ell^2)(1+\tau u_\ell)}{2\pi \mathrm{i}u_\ell^{a_\ell-1}} \prod_{2\leqslant \ell < m\leqslant n} [(u_m-u_\ell)(1+\tau u_m+u_\ell u_m)].$$

That implies a recurrence relation

$$\psi_{1,a_2,\dots,a_n}^{(n)} = \sum_{\varepsilon_2,\dots,\varepsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} \tau^{\sum_{\ell=2}^n \varepsilon_\ell} \psi_{a_2-1-\varepsilon_2,\dots,a_n-1-\varepsilon_n}^{(n-1)},$$
(28)

where for clarity we use additional indices to stress that the components in the left- and righthand sides correspond to different values of n. The obtained recurrence relation is not a closed recurrence because some indices may become equal in the right-hand side of the formula. A similar relation is satisfied by the components  $\overline{\psi}_{b_1,\dots,b_{n+1}}$ . We now assume that  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ , that is  $\tau = 1$ . Using the rotational invariance of  $\psi$ , one

can rewrite equation (28) in the form

$$\psi_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n}^{(n)} = \sum_{\varepsilon_2,\dots,\varepsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} \psi_{a_2-a_1-\varepsilon_2,\dots,a_n-a_1-\varepsilon_n}^{(n-1)}.$$
(29)

# 5.3. Proof of second theorem

Applying equation (29) to the component  $\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-1}^{(n)}$ , after the change  $\varepsilon_{\ell} \mapsto 1 - \varepsilon_{\ell-1}$  one obtains

$$\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-1}^{(n)} = \sum_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_{n-1} \in \{0,1\}} \psi_{1+\varepsilon_1,\dots,2n-3+\varepsilon_{n-1}}^{(n-1)}.$$
(30)

We then use equation (7), which we rewrite at n - 1:

$$\frac{1}{\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-3}^{(n-1)}} \sum_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_{n-1} \in \{0,1\}} \alpha^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_\ell} \psi_{1+\varepsilon_1,3+\varepsilon_2,\dots,2n-3+\varepsilon_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} = \frac{1}{A(n-1)} \sum_{r=1}^n \alpha^{r-1} A(n,r).$$
(31)

Recall that A(n, r) are the numbers providing the refined enumeration of the alternating sign matrices. At  $\alpha = 1$ , taking into account that  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} A(n, r) = A(n)$  we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_{n-1}\in\{0,1\}}}\psi_{1+\epsilon_1,3+\epsilon_2,\ldots,2n-3+\epsilon_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} = \frac{A(n)}{A(n-1)}\psi_{1,3,\ldots,2n-3}^{(n-1)}.$$

Comparing this equality with equation (30), we conclude that

$$\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-1}^{(n)} = \frac{A(n)}{A(n-1)}\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-3}^{(n-1)}$$

Now taking into account that  $\psi_1^{(1)} = 1 = A(1)$ , we come to the equality

$$\psi_{1,3,\dots,2n-1}^{(n)} = A(n)$$

which is the statement of theorem 2.

ε

One can now simplify the equality (31) to

$$\sum_{1,...,\varepsilon_{n-1}\in\{0,1\}} \alpha^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1}\varepsilon_{\ell}} \psi_{1+\varepsilon_{1},3+\varepsilon_{2},...,2n-3+\varepsilon_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha^{r-1} A(n,r),$$
(32)

which was the main observation of paper [35]. This results in the following integral representation for the generating function of the refined enumeration of the alternating sign matrices:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha^{r-1} A(n,r) = \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}u_{\ell} \left(1 + u_{\ell} + u_{\ell}^2\right) (1 + \alpha u_{\ell})}{2\pi \mathrm{i} u_{\ell}^{2\ell}}$$
$$\times \prod_{1 \leq \ell < m \leq n-1} \left[ (u_m - u_{\ell}) (1 + u_m + u_{\ell} u_m) \right].$$

Using the connection between components  $\psi_{a_1,...,a_n}^{(n)}$  and  $\overline{\psi}_{b_1,...,b_{n+1}}^{(n)}$ , and the integral representation (25), one can also prove the validity of the equality

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha^r A(n,r) = \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}u_\ell (1+\alpha u_\ell)}{2\pi \mathrm{i}u_\ell^{2\ell}} \prod_{1 \leq \ell < m \leq n} [(u_m - u_\ell)(1+u_m + u_\ell u_m)].$$

# 5.4. Relation to Temperley-Lieb loop model

In paper [26], in the study of qKZ solutions in the loop basis, certain integrals similar to those given by equations (8) and (9) were introduced. They depend on a sequence of integers, which we shall name here  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  for consistency with our present notations, and are of the form

$$\Xi_{b_1,\dots,b_n}(z_1,\dots,z_{2n}) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} (qz_i - q^{-1}z_j) \\ \times \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{\ell=1}^n \frac{dw_\ell}{2\pi i} \frac{\prod_{1 \le \ell < m \le n} [(w_m - w_\ell)(qw_\ell - q^{-1}w_m)]}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n [\prod_{1 \le i \le b_\ell} (w_\ell - z_i) \prod_{b_\ell < i \le 2n} (qw_\ell - q^{-1}z_i)]}$$

Note that these do not exactly coincide with either of equations (8) and (9), however, quite remarkably, and as can be easily checked by direct comparison, in the homogeneous limit,

after proper normalization, they are exactly equal to  $\overline{\psi}_{b_1,\dots,b_n}^{(n-1)}$ , where once again the size of the system is written explicitly in superscript.

Let us now borrow without proof the following result from paper [26], see in particular its appendix A. In general, it expresses the aforementioned integrals as linear combinations of components of the solution of the qKZ equation in the loop basis. These are relations of the form

$$\Xi_{b_1,\dots,b_n}(z_1,\dots,z_{2n}) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{2n}} C^{\pi}_{b_1,\dots,b_n} \Xi_{\pi}(z_1,\dots,z_{2n}).$$
(33)

The coefficients are given explicitly by the relation

$$C_{b_1,\dots,b_n}^{\pi} = \prod_{i < \pi(i)} U_{\#\{\ell: i \le b_\ell < \pi(i)\} - (\pi(i) - i + 1)/2},\tag{34}$$

where i and  $\pi(i)$  are connected by an arch, and the numbers  $U_k$  are defined by the relation

$$U_{k-1} = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

For example, one has  $U_{-1} = 0$ ,  $U_0 = 1$ ,  $U_1 = -\tau$ ,  $U_2 = \tau^2 - 1$ , etc. What is relevant here is the homogeneous limit and the value  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ . In this case equation (33) becomes

$$\bar{\psi}_{b_1,\dots,b_n}^{(n-1)} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{2n}} C_{b_1,\dots,b_n}^{\pi} \xi_{\pi},$$
(35)

thus relating eigenvectors of XXZ model in size 2n - 1 and of the Temperley–Lieb loop model of section 2.3 in size 2n.

In paper [35], special sequences of the form  $a_1 = 1$  or  $2, \ldots, a_{\ell} = 2\ell - 1$  or  $2\ell, \ldots, a_n = 2n - 1$  or 2n were investigated, see section 3.2. Interestingly, something similar can be defined here. Consider sequences of the form  $b_1 = 1$  or  $2, \ldots, b_{\ell} = 2\ell - 1$  or  $2\ell, \ldots, b_{n-1} = 2n - 3$  or  $2n - 2, b_n = 2n - 1$ . Note that  $b_n = 2n$  is not allowed since in this case  $\overline{\psi}_{b_1,\ldots,b_n}$  would be zero. Applying equation (34), we find that the corresponding coefficients  $C_{b_1,\ldots,b_n}^{\pi}$  can only be zero or one, and that they are one if and only if the following condition is met [26]:

$$2\ell < \pi(2\ell)$$
 iff  $b_{\ell} = 2\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, n-1$ , (36)

or, alternatively,

$$2\ell > \pi(2\ell)$$
 iff  $b_{\ell} = 2\ell - 1$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . (37)

Let us call the opening (closing) of  $\pi$  an index *i* such that  $i < \pi(i)$  ( $\pi(i) < i$ ), that is the arch starting at *i* connects a vertex to the right (left) of *i*. Thus, these particular components  $\overline{\psi}_{b_1,...,b_n}$  are partial sums of  $\xi_{\pi}$  at fixed locations of even openings/closings. In particular, by summing over all such sequences we obtain the full sum of loop components:

$$\sum_{1,...,\varepsilon_{n-1}\in\{0,1\}}\overline{\psi}_{2-\varepsilon_{1},...,2n-2-\varepsilon_{n},2n-1}=\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_{2n}}\xi_{\pi}.$$

The results of the previous section can now be reinterpreted in terms of the Temperley– Lieb loop model. Starting once more from equation (32), we obtain

$$A(n,r) = \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \in \{0,1\}\\\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_\ell = r-1}} \psi_{1+\varepsilon_1, 3+\varepsilon_2, \dots, 2n-3+\varepsilon_{n-1}}^{(n-1)}$$
  
$$= \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \in \{0,1\}\\\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_\ell = r-1}} \overline{\psi}_{2-\varepsilon_1, 4-\varepsilon_2, \dots, 2n-2-\varepsilon_{n-1}, 2n-1}^{(n-1)} = \sum_{\pi: \#\{\text{even openings of } \pi\}=r-1} \xi_{\pi}$$
(38)

as conjectured in paper [26]. In the special case r = 1 or r = n, the sum in the last righthand side of equation (38) reduces to a single term, corresponding to one of the two largest components, with link pattern of the type of fourth or fifth link pattern in figure 2, while the left-hand side is simply A(n, 1) = A(n, n) = A(n - 1). We have thus proved by the same token one of the conjectures of paper [3]. Note also that by summing equation (38) over r, one obtains on the left-hand side the number A(n), and on the right-hand side the sum over all components of the loop model, thus recovering the main result of paper [13].

We are now in a position to prove the third theorem of section 3.3. In all this section we assume that  $\tau = 1$ . Let us once again consider the component  $\psi_{1,3,\dots,2k-3,2k,2k+1,\dots,2n-1}$ , with one defect 2k in the sequence of odd indices, for the XXZ spin chain in size N = 2n + 1. First, we can use the rotational invariance to decrease all indices by 2k (modulo N) and rewrite it as  $\psi_{1,3,\dots,2(n-k)-1,2(n-k+1),\dots,2n-2,2n+1}$ , that is as a sequence of n - k odd indices, of k - 1 even indices and 2n + 1 last. Then we can apply the recurrence relation (29):

$$\psi_{1,3,\dots,2(n-k)-1,2(n-k+1),\dots,2n-2,2n+1}^{(n)} = \sum_{\varepsilon_2,\dots,\varepsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} \psi_{2-\varepsilon_2,\dots,2(n-k)-2-\varepsilon_{n-k},2(n-k)+1-\varepsilon_{n-k+1},\dots,2n-3-\varepsilon_{n-1},2n-\varepsilon_n}^{(n-1)}.$$

Note that only  $\varepsilon_n = 1$  contributes to the sum, because the value 2n cannot appear in size 2n - 1, the corresponding integral being zero. At this stage, one can use the rotational invariance in size 2n - 1 to shift the indices again, this time increasing them by 2k. The formula we get is

$$\psi_{1,3,\dots,2k-3,2k,2k+1,\dots,2n-1}^{(n)} = \sum_{\varepsilon_2,\dots,\varepsilon_{n-1}\in\{0,1\}} \psi_{2-\varepsilon_{n-k+1},\dots,2k-2-\varepsilon_{n-1},2k,2k+2-\varepsilon_2,\dots,2n-2-\varepsilon_{n-k}}^{(n-1)}.$$

In order to identify the right-hand side in terms of loops, we must use the complementary set of indices. We find, after re-indexation of the summation variables,

$$\psi_{1,3,\dots,2k-3,2k,2k+1,\dots,2n-1}^{(n)} = \sum_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_{n-2} \in \{0,1\}} \overline{\psi}_{2-\varepsilon_1,\dots,2k-2-\varepsilon_{k-1},2k-1,2k+2-\varepsilon_k,\dots,2n-2-\varepsilon_{n-2},2n-1}^{(n-1)}.$$

According to the correspondence described above, see equation (36), the right-hand side is nothing but the sum of the components of the Temperley–Lieb loop model eigenvector for which the corresponding link pattern has a closing at 2k. Hence, the final result is

$$\psi_{1,3,\dots,2k-3,2k,2k+1,\dots,2n-1} = \sum_{\pi:\pi(2k)<2k} \xi_{\pi}$$

This is in fact the statement of theorem 3. Indeed, via the reflection that sends 2k to 1, a closing arch at 2k becomes an arch starting at 1 and ending somewhere between 2 and 2k.

#### 5.5. Application to the refined enumeration of ASMs and TSSCPPs

The main result of paper [26] is the expression of the sum of components of the loop model as a refined enumeration of totally symmetric self-complementary plane partitions (TSSCPPs). More precisely, it reads

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi: \#\{\text{even openings of }\pi\}=r}} \xi_{\pi} = \#\{\text{TSSCPPs}: \#\{i: r_i \neq i \text{ mod } 2\} = r\},\$$

where  $r_i$  is the endpoint of the *i*th path in the non-intersecting lattice path formulation of TSSCPPs (see paper [26] for details).

Here we have a similar expression involving a refined enumeration of ASMs, namely equation (38). Combining the two we find

 $A(n, r) = \#\{\text{TSSCPPs} : \#\{i : r_i \neq i \mod 2\} = r - 1\}.$ 

This equality of refined enumerations of ASMs and TSSCPPs was conjectured in [31] (see also [32]: this is the first type of refinement among the three presented).

## 6. Conclusion

We believe that the main results of the present paper are the integral formulae (8) and (9). As we proved at  $q = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$  they give components of the ground state of the transfer matrix of the inhomogeneous six-vertex model in the case of toroidal boundary for an odd number of vertical rows of the lattice. The homogeneous limit (24) and (25) of formulae (8) and (9) gives the components of the ground-state vector of the antiferromagnetic XXZ spin chain for the anisotropy parameter  $\Delta$  equal to -1/2. This fact has allowed us to prove some conjectures for these components that were formulated earlier.

On the basis of the coincidence of expression (9) with the corresponding homogeneous limit of the integrals considered in paper [26] in the context of Temperley–Lieb loop models, we discovered a connection between the ground-state components of the odd-sized XXZ spin chains and even-sized Temperley–Lieb loop models. We formulated a new statement relating these components and proved it. We noted that combining the various proved properties of the ground states of the XXZ spin chain and loop models lead to interesting results for enumerative combinatorics, in particular a (rather indirect) proof of the equality of the refined enumeration of ASMs and TSSCPPs.

## Acknowledgments

PZJ wants to thank C Krattenthaler for discussions. The work of AVR and YGS was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant #07-01-00234. PZJ acknowledges the support of European Marie Curie Research Training Networks 'ENIGMA' MRT-CT-2004-5652, 'ENRAGE' MRTN-CT-2004-005616, ESF program 'MISGAM' and of ANR program 'GIMP' ANR-05-BLAN-0029-01.

#### References

- [1] Stroganov Yu G 2001 The importance of being odd J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 L179-85 (Preprint cond-mat/ 0012035)
- [2] Razumov A V and Stroganov Yu G 2001 Spin chain and combinatorics J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 3185–90 (Preprint cond-mat/0012141)
- [3] Batchelor M T, de Gier J and Nienhuis B 2001 The quantum symmetric XXZ chain at Δ = −1/2, alternating sign matrices and plane partitions J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 L265–70 (Preprint cond-mat/0101385)
- [4] Razumov A V and Stroganov Yu G 2001 Spin chains and combinatorics: twisted boundary conditions J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 5335–40 (Preprint cond-mat/0102247)
- [5] Razumov A V and Stroganov Yu G 2004 Combinatorial nature of ground state vector of O(1) loop model Theor. Math. Phys. 138 333–7 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:TAMP.0000018450.36514.d7) (Preprint math.CO/0104216)
- [6] Pearce P A, Rittenberg V and de Gier J 2001 Critical Q = 1 Potts model and Temperley–Lieb stochastic processes *Preprint* cond-mat/0108051
- [7] Razumov A V and Stroganov Yu G 2005 O(1) loop model with different boundary conditions and symmetry classes of alternating-sign matrices *Theor. Math. Phys.* 142 237–43 (*Preprint* cond-mat/0108103)
- [8] Pearce P A, Rittenberg V, de Gier J and Nienhuis B 2002 Temperley–Lieb stochastic processes J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 L661–8 (Preprint math-ph/0209017)
- [9] Di Francesco P 2004 A refined Razumov–Stroganov conjecture J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. P09009 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2004/08/P08009) (Preprint cond-mat/0407477)
- [10] de Gier J and Rittenberg V 2004 Refined Razumov–Stroganov conjectures for open boundaries J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. P09009 (Preprint math-ph/0408042)
- [11] Di Francesco P 2004 A refined Razumov–Stroganov conjecture. II J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. P11004 (Preprint cond-mat/0409576)
- Bressoud D 1999 Proofs and Confirmations: The Story of the Alternating Sign Matrix Conjecture (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

- [13] Di Francesco P and Zinn-Justin P 2005 Around the Razumov–Stroganov conjecture: proof of a multi-parameter sum rule Eur. J. Comb. 12 R6 (Preprint math-ph/0410061)
- [14] Di Francesco P and Zinn-Justin P 2005 Quantum Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation, generalized Razumov– Stroganov sum rules and extended Joseph polynomials J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 L815–22 (Preprint math-ph/0508059)
- [15] Baxter R J 1989 Solving models in statistical mechanics Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 19 95-116
- [16] Stroganov Yu G 2001 The 8-vertex model with a special value of the crossing parameter and the related XXZ spin chain *Integrable Structures of Exactly Solvable Two-Dimensional Models of Quantum Field Theory* ed S Pakulyak and G von Gehlen (Dordrecht: Kluwer) pp 315–9
- [17] Bazhanov V V and Mangazeev V V 2005 Eight-vertex model and non-stationary Lamé equation J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 L145–53 (Preprint hep-th/0411094)
- [18] Bazhanov V V and Mangazeev V V 2006 The eight-vertex model and Painlevé VI J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 12235–43 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/39/S15) (Preprint hep-th/0602122)
- [19] Alcaraz F C and Stroganov Yu G 2003 The wavefunctions for the free-fermion part of the spectrum of the SU<sub>q</sub>(N) quantum spin models J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 2381–97 (Preprint cond-mat/0212475)
- [20] Kitanine N, Maillet J M, Slavnov N A and Terras V 2002 Emptiness formation probability of the XXZ spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain at  $\Delta = 1/2$  J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** L385–8 (Preprint hep-th/0201134)
- [21] Kitanine N, Maillet J M, Slavnov N A and Terras V 2002 Large distance asymptotic behavior of the emptiness formation probability of the XXZ spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 L753–8 (Preprint hep-th/0210019)
- [22] Di Francesco P, Zinn-Justin P and Zuber J-B 2006 Sum rules for the ground states of the O(1) loop model on a cylinder and the XXZ spin chain J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. P08011 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/ 1742-5468/2006/08/P08011) (Preprint math-ph/0603009)
- [23] Pasquier V 2006 Quantum incompressibility and Razumov–Stroganov type conjectures Ann. Henri Poincaré 7 397–421 (Preprint cond-mat/0506075)
- [24] Yang X and Fendley P 2004 Non-local space-time supersymmetry on the lattice J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 8937–48 (Preprint cond-mat/0404682)
- [25] Veneziano G and Wosiek J 2006 A supersymmetric matrix model: III. Hidden SUSY in statistical systems J. High Energy Phys. JHEP11(2006)030 (Preprint hep-th/0609210)
- [26] Di Francesco P and Zinn-Justin P 2007 Quantum Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation, totally symmetric selfcomplementary plane partitions and alternating sign matrices *Preprint* math-ph/0703015
- [27] Temperley N and Lieb E 1971 Relations between the percolation and colouring problem and other graphtheoretical problems associated with regular planar lattices: some exact results for the percolation problem *Proc. R. Soc. A* 322 251–80
- [28] Yang C N and Yang C P 1966 One-dimensional chain of anisotropic spin-spin interactions: I. Proof of Bethe's hypothesis for ground state in a finite system *Phys. Rev.* 150 321–7
- [29] Mills W H, Robbins D P and Rumsey H 1982 Proof of the Macdonald conjecture Invent. Math. 66 73-87
- [30] Mills W H, Robbins D P and Rumsey H 1983 Alternating sign matrices and descending plane partitions J. Comb. Theory A 34 340–59
- [31] Mills W H, Robbins D P and Rumsey H 1986 Self-complementary totally symmetric plane partitions J. Comb. Theory A 42 277–92
- [32] Robbins D P 1991 The story of 1,2,7,42,429,7436,... Math. Intell. 13 12–9
- [33] Zeilberger D 1996 Proof of the alternating sign matrix conjecture *Elec. J. Comb.* 3 R13 (*Preprint* math.CO/ 9407211)
- [34] Zeilberger D 1996 Proof of the refined alternating sign matrix conjecture New York J. Math. 2 59–68 (Preprint math.CO/9606224)
- [35] Razumov A V and Stroganov Yu G 2006 Bethe roots and refined enumeration of alternating-sign matrices J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. P07004 (Preprint math-ph/0605004)
- [36] Jimbo M and Miwa T 1995 Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [37] Smirnov F A 1986 A general formula for soliton form factors in the quantum sine-Gordon model J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 L575–8
- [38] Kasatani M and Pasquier V 2006 On polynomials interpolating between the stationary state of a O(n) model and a Q.H.E. ground state *Preprint* cond-mat/0608160
- [39] Frenkel I B and Reshetikhin Yu B 1992 Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations Commun. Math. Phys. 146 1–60